

SHABEL & DENITTIS

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

5 GREENTREE CENTRE

525 ROUTE 73 NORTH, SUITE 302

MARLTON, NEW JERSEY 08053

TEL: (856) 797-9951 FAX: (856) 797-9978

www.shabeldenittis.com

NORMAN SHABEL
STEPHEN P. DENITTIS*
JOSEPH A. OSEFCHEN

* MEMBER NJ & PA BAR

TWO PENN CENTER, SUITE 200
1500 JFK BOULEVARD
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102
TEL: (215) 564-1721
FAX: (215) 564-1759

September 26, 2012

VIA: NJ Lawyer Service

Honorable Peter G. Sheridan, U.S.D.J.
United States District Court – District of NJ
Room 7000
Clarkson S. Fisher Federal Building
402 East State Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08608

So Ordered this 11 day
of October, 2012
Denittis

RE: Telliho v. East Windsor Township, et al
Civil Case No: 3:12-CV-04800-PGS-LHG

Dear Judge Sheridan:

This office represents Plaintiffs, Telliho, Townsend, Conrow, Fitting, O'Brien, Marinaccio, Naphys, Spector, Anderson and Vetre in several cases that are subject to two Motions to Consolidate currently pending before Your Honor. Defendant, American Traffic Solutions ("ATS") filed a motion to consolidate pursuant to L.Civ.R. 42.1 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 42 currently returnable October 15, 2012 requesting the following actions be consolidated with the above referenced action:

Townsend v. Woodbridge Township and ATS, Case No. 2:12-cv-4995
Conrow v. Monroe Township and ATS, Case No. 1:12-cv-5005
Fitting v. Borough of Glassboro and ATS, Case No.:1:12-cv-5007
O'Brien v. Brick Township and ATS, Case No. 3:12-cv-5096
Darocha v. City of Linden and ATS, Case No.: 2:12-cv-5235

Movant Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc. ("Redflex"), filed a motion to consolidate pursuant L.Civ.R. 42.1 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 42 currently returnable November 5, 2012 requesting the following actions be consolidated with the above referenced action:

Naumowski v. City of Newark and Redflex, Case No.: 12-cv-5119
Marinaccio v. City of Newark and Redflex, Case No.: 12-cv-5141
Naphys v. Borough of Stratford and Redflex, Case No. 12-cv-5164
Spector and Anderson v. Cherry Hill Twp. and Redflex, Case No. 12-cv-5198
Vetre and Ajewole v. Edison Township and Redflex, Case No., 12-cv-4996

Defendant ATS' and Redflex's motions to consolidate ("Motions") are both returnable before Your Honor. The parties believe it is in the best interest of the parties and the cases that both Motions be returnable on the same day. Accordingly, the parties have agreed to jointly request that ATS' and Redflex's Motion to Consolidate be returnable on **November 5, 2012**.

Should Your Honor prefer a Consent Order in place of this letter, the parties will be happy to prepare and submit to Your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

SHABEL & DeNITTIS, P.C.


STEPHEN P. DeNITTIS

SPD/dmc

cc: Benjamin C. Caldwell, Esquire (counsel for American Traffic Solutions, Inc.)
Dennis J. Drasco, Esquire (counsel for Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc.)
David S. Paris, Esquire (counsel for Plaintiffs Naumowski and Darocha)
Dawn Atwood, Esquire (counsel for defendant Woodbridge and Edison)